

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/557,628	11/17/2005	Pim Theo Tuyls	NL030552	4463	
24737 PHILIPS INTI	7590 05/18/201 ELLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 3001			SIMS, JING F		
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2437		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/18/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/557,628	TUYLS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JING SIMS	2437	

	JING SIMS	2437	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 April 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE N	FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.198(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period over under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t They raise new issues that would require further cor They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a of NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendmen	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided in the control of the control		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see continuation of 11 notes. 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Michael Pyzocha/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 2437	
	Examinor, rate	=	

Continuation of 3(a) notes:

Claims 1-2, 4-8, 10-12, 14-18 have been amended to include limitations that were not previously recited, which is a change in scope, also claims 19-20 are new claims; therefore, they are new issues that require further consideration and search.

Continuation of 11 notes:

Applicant argues Zhao does not teach wherein the criteria is not a member of property sets utilized for generating the control value V because the stored selected optimum score threshold of Zhao is not utilized to create fingerprint features on get 14 par. 2 of the remarks. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Zhao discloses "the selected minutiae of multiple impressions of the same fingerprint are matched using the same processes for matching file and search fingerprint information discussed above to compute at least on match score, which is used as the score threshold for the minutiae comparison process discussed above in par, [0082], lines 1-6, and furthermore, Zhao discloses "multiple matching scores are computed, in some applications, the lowest score is used as the score threshold participating in generate the final fingerprint features (corresponding with control value V) by help further restricting the minutiae of multiple impressions of the same fingerprint to match with the score threshold.

In response to the argument to claim 15, also the same argument as in claim 1, 14, 16, 17 and 18, it requires further consideration and search to the new issues that has been added since the argument is based on the newly amended claims.